



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,111	11/17/2003	Shoji Inagaki	116928	9777	
25944 7	590 03/31/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			ARTHUR JEANGL	ARTHUR JEANGLAUD, GERTRUDE	
P.O. BOX 1991 ALEXANDRI	28 A. VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3661		
			D	D. (2004)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/713,111	INAGAKI, SHOJI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude	3661					
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repleation. Pry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
<u> </u>	nn 18 January 2006						
		s prosecution as to the merits is					
• • •	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	andor Expanto Quaylo, 1000 C.S.	1, 100 0.0. 210.					
Disposition of Claims		•					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the appl							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents.	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in App	lication No					
<u> </u>	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not re	celvea.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sun	imary (PTO-413)					
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) Paper No(s)/N	fail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	O/SB/08) 5) \(\bigcup \text{Notice of Infoise}\)	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/713,111

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itoh et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,924,510) in view of Toyoda et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,376,868).

As to claims 1, 9, 16, Itoh et al. disclose a vehicle behavior control system comprising a controller (See abstract) that obtains a normal vehicle state value based on an operation amount of a vehicle operating member performed by a vehicle operator, (See col. 7, lines 33-41) and obtains an actual vehicle state value (See col. 8, lines 9-17) and controls a vehicle behavior based on an-the actual vehicle state value and the normal vehicle state value, Itoh et al. discloses stabilizing the vehicle behavior and also discloses subtracting wheels (see col. 7, lines 41-46); therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Itoh et al. by correcting with respect to the vehicle operating member and obtains the normal vehicle state value based on the estimated amount of correction and an actual operation amount (See col. 8, lines 9-17) since it would allow the transmission of the driving force.. However, in an analogous art, Toyoda et al. disclose a controller

Page 3

estimates an amount of correction with respect to the vehicle operating member by the vehicle operator, and obtains the normal vehicle state value based on the estimated amount of correction and an actual operation amount (See abstract; col. 4, lines 12-15; col. 6, lines 13-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Itoh et al. with that of Toyoda et al. by having a controller for correcting with respect to the vehicle operating member by the vehicle operator since it would allow better distribution for driving force among the wheels.

As to claims 2-4, Itoh et al. disclose the controller obtains a corrected operation amount by subtracting the estimated amount of correction from the actual operation amount, and obtains the normal vehicle state value based on the corrected operation amount wherein the controller estimates the vehicle behavior based on the actual vehicle state value and the normal vehicle state value, obtaining an estimated results and controls the vehicle behavior based on the estimated result; and the controller obtains the normal vehicle state value based on the amount of operation with respect to the vehicle operating member performed by the vehicle operator, and controls the vehicle behavior by controlling an actuator of the vehicle in accordance with a control value that brings the actual vehicle state value into the normal vehicle state value (see col. 7, lines 41-46);

As to claims 5-8, 10-15, 17-20 Itoh et al. disclose the controller controls the vehicle behavior by controlling a braking force to be applied to each of the wheels; and the vehicle operating member is a steering member of the vehicle, the operation amount is

Art Unit: 3661

an amount of operating the steering member, and the amount of correction is a corrected amount of operating the steering member; and the controller estimates an excess yaw moment acting on the vehicle, which is caused by a characteristic of a road surface on which a braking operation is applied to the vehicle, and estimates the corrected amount of operating the steering member based on the estimated excess yaw moment; and wherein the controller determines whether the vehicle is running on the road surface having a characteristic of uneven friction coefficient during the braking operation, and estimates the excess yaw moment based on a target braking force to be applied to each wheel of the vehicle for stable braking operation on the assumption that the road surface has uniform friction coefficient, and estimates a braking force to be applied to each wheel of the vehicle, if it is determined that the braking operation is applied to the vehicle running on the road surface with the uneven friction coefficient. (See abstract; Fig. 2). In Fig. 2, yaw rate and brake operation are applied to the vehicle and wheels of the vehicle (See Fig.4; col. 4, lines 18-57). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Itoh et al. with that of Toyoda et al. by having a controller for correcting with respect to the vehicle operating member by the vehicle operator since it would allow better distribution for driving force among the wheels.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,111 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude whose telephone number is (571) 272-6954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GAJ

March 22, 2006